

BlueFish & NVU vs Dreamweaver: A Linux Web-Publishing review-comparison

By *srlinuxx*

Created 22/01/2007 - 9:04pm

Submitted by srlinuxx on Monday 22nd of January 2007 09:04:06 PM Filed under [Software](#) [1]

Recently I was expressing the need for Dreamweaver in Linux, because my company website (which I build and run) was built on Dreamweaver. Someone (I forget who) said that I should try NVU. And when I specified that I wanted a heavy-duty web-development package, he suggested Bluefish. I of course hadn't heard of these packages, and eventually gave them a try.

I didn't try it on my company site (obviously), but on my own homepage, a simple html+flash-based static web page.

Bluefish is scary (for non coders). It has no WYSIWYG whatever.

NVU on the other hand is more friendly.

[Full Story](#) [2].

Also on same site:

This is just a quick post to pronounce my verdict (that's if you don't know it already). ksnapshot is really a very good, flexible and superior screenshot generator in comparison to gnome's own's screenshot `gnome-screenshot`. In fact, ksnapshot is so good, that I would recommend any gnome user to install ksnapshot by default. It's more functional and a lot more fun.

Full [ksnapshot vs gnome-screenshot: no comparison!](#) [3]

[Software](#)

Source URL: <http://www.tuxmachines.org/node/12799>

Links:

[1] <http://www.tuxmachines.org/taxonomy/term/38>

[2] <http://alternativenayk.wordpress.com/2007/01/22/bluefish-nvu-dreamweaver-web-publishing-review/>

[3] <http://alternativenayk.wordpress.com/2007/01/22/kde-ksnapshot-gnome-screenshot-comparison/>