

Microsoft and "Interoperability"...LOL! That's a good one!

By *stmok*

Created 09/11/2007 - 5:56am

Submitted by stmok on Friday 9th of November 2007 05:56:15 AM

While reading through **srlinuxx**'s news posts, I was eventually led to Mary Jo Foley's (MJF) article regarding MS-Novell deal.

Microsoft-Novell: What a long, strange year it's been

<http://blogs.zdnet.com/microsoft/?p=916> [1]

The basic gist is that MS has been doing their usual patent FUD, while Novell is sprouting how wonderful interoperability is. Same agreement, two different views. Now that's odd. Typically, you'd expect all parties involved in an agreement to be singing the same tune.

So what's going on here?

Well, someone points out the obvious. This is **TechExec2**'s post in MJF's article feedback:

Quote:

VERDICT: Microsoft's cynical patent FUD campaign is a DISMAL FAILURE

~~Microsoft's cynical patent FUD campaign is a DISMAL FAILURE. It is a failure because it has not achieved its goal of stopping open source software from competing with Microsoft's products. Instead, it has only made Microsoft look like a desperate and dishonest company. This is a failure that Microsoft should be ashamed of.~~
Microsoft's cynical patent FUD campaign is a DISMAL FAILURE. In this case, the only difference is no different.

Its not a failure...Its a joke. Just like the rest of the nonsense they've tried since the late 1990s. (When they saw opensource as becoming a major threat to their fundamental business model).

Here's another joke:

OpenXML/ODF Translator Add-in for Office project.

<http://odf-converter.sourceforge.net/index.html>

[4]

Notice MS's contribution?

"Funding, Architectural & Technical Guidance and Project co-ordination"

Can someone please explain what EXACTLY (details and specifics please) Microsoft contributes to this project? Do they submit any code related contributions? Or do they just hire third-parties like they usually do?

Instead of writing a damn plug-in that requires one to need MS Office, why not offer a multi-platform standalone application that reads (not edit/save), displays (for before and after comparison), and converts? Oh that's right, silly me! It won't force people into a corner such that they must need MS Office!

But of course, MS's vision for "interoperability" has many facets. One includes...

Steve Ballmer: "I would love to see all open source innovation happen on top of Windows"

http://blogs.cnet.com/8301-13505_1-9793052-16.html [5]

Let's call MS's "interoperability" campaign what it really is. Its a farce of half-hearted attempts to LOOK like they're doing something (for their Press Releases, EU, and public), but not really achieving anything substantial if they don't see or get any direct benefit from it. When it comes to standards, it must be standards made by them, that they control and lead. (Which means they control the tempo of when new features and changes are released...They'll be your friend now, simply out of convenience to them).

Take for example, their Silverlight solution. They're only helping Novell with Moonlight on Linux so they can use their solution to crush their real target...Adobe's Flash.

Their thinking of standards is already well documented in Halloween documents 1 and 2. Its basically summarized as this: **extend existing protocols and develop new protocols.**

The Samba project has demonstrated that we do NOT need Microsoft involvement, input, or their patent covenants to achieve true interoperability. We can do it without them, its just a pity that some commercial distro providers (Xandros, Linspire, etc) don't see that.

Source URL: <http://www.tuxmachines.org/node/21799>

Links:

[1] <http://blogs.zdnet.com/microsoft/?p=916>

[2] <http://www.microsoft.com/presspass/press/2007/nov07/11-07MSNovell1YearPR.msp>

[3] http://www.news.com/8301-13580_3-9776042-39.html?tag=blog.9

[4] <http://odf-converter.sourceforge.net/index.html>

[5] http://blogs.cnet.com/8301-13505_1-9793052-16.html